

# Reach-to-grasp: EMG activity in both proximal and distal muscles is related to both location and object

### Introduction

In reach-to-grasp movements, proximal muscles act on the shoulder and elbow to transport the hand to its target while distal muscles shape the hand to grasp the object. However, given that shoulder angles also vary depending on the object, the activity of proximal muscles might vary depending on the object as well as its location. Conversely, given that wrist and finger angles vary to orient the hand toward the object, distal muscle activity might vary with location as well as object shape.

# Methods

#### Experimental Setup

Three rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta), L/X/Y, were trained to perform a reach-to-grasp task. Subjects were cued to reach to one of four objects: mallet, pull handle, push button, or sphere. These objects were located in one of eight radial locations. Chronic, intramuscular bipolar electrodes were implanted in 16 right forearm muscles of each animal to record electromyographic (EMG) activity. EMG signals were sampled at 1 kHz (Plexon, Inc.).



Figure 1. Reach-to-grasp task. For each block of trials, the objects were rotated to one of eight zones. The eight possible locations for a given object were 157.5° (most left location), 135°, 112.5°, 90°, 67.5°, 45°, 22.5°, and 0° (right horizontal location). Objects not located at one of these locations for a given zone were not included in the task. . (Illustration created with MSMS software courtesy of R. Davoodi and G. Loeb)



Figure 2. Examples of rectified EMG activity for a single trial of each of the four different objects when located at 45<sup>o</sup>. Activity in proximal as well as distal muscles differs across the four objects. Activity also appears to occur largely in two sequential temporal phases, with both early and late phase activity in both proximal and distal muscles. The individual trials shown here were selected because they matched the mean activity for a given reach type across the entire recording session



Figure 3. Example rectified EMG activity during reach and grasp of the pull handle at each of the eight locations. Gradual changes in individual muscle activity as a function of location are observed.

Adam G. Rouse, Andre T. Roussin, Marc H. Schieber Neurobiology and Anatomy University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY

# Results

The EMG signals were full-wave rectified and low-pass filtered at 20 Hz for analysis. For each monkey, all trials then were linearly interpolated to time align the data to two time points: the onset of movement and peripheral object contact. Two separate analyses were performed on the EMG data. First, two-way ANOVA was performed with the type of object (Object) and its location (Location) used as factors, as well as an interaction term (Object x Location). To more accurately compare the effect size across time points,  $\eta^2$  was normalized by using the maximum error variation over all time points, rather than the error variation at each time point (Eqn. 1). Second, linear discriminant analysis (LDA) using selected combinations of muscles for a known location, and ii) location for a known object (Eqn. 2). The LDA predictive accuracy was assessed using 10-fold cross-validation.





# EMG Analysis Summary



Figure 6. The relative ratio of object, location, and interaction effects at two points in time. The ratios of  $\eta^2$  values at 30% and 90% of interaction to separate object and location  $\eta^2$  values (Eqn. 4) was calculated. Most muscles show modest interaction effects and thus depend

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NINDS R01-NS079664.

