# Ensuring FDA's Readiness to Evaluate Additive Manufacturing



#### **Rochester Regulators**

#### Justin Schumacher, Eric Ravinal, Vladimir Tokarchuk, Rebecca Amorese



[image] Dilbert (2012).

# Additive Manufacturing

- Sequentially build 2D layers to construct part
- Allows manufacturers to design custom shapes for personalized medical applications
- FDA guidance document
- ASTM F42 committee standards





[video] ZME Science (2015). 3D printing to the next level: Terminator style.
[Image left] NIH. (2018). 3D-Printable Prosthetic Devices.
[Image right] Hobson, B. (2018). 3D-printed medical implants.

# **3D Printing Problems**





[Images] Simplify3D. (2018). Print Quality Troubleshooting Guide.

# **Quantitative Evaluation Toolkit**

- Quantitative imaging biomarkers to identify print defects
- Real-time monitoring of AM processes for defects
- Realistic printer performance and resolution metrics
- Monitor properties of print material as they heat, cool, or cure.



# Benefits of an Evaluation Toolkit

- Quantitative performance metrics
- Identification of defects and potential effects on device
- Ensures tolerances and consistency with predicted vs expected devices
- Ability to quantify differences between printing methodologies



# Summary

- Additive manufacturing is a growing technology in the medical field
- New quantitative metrics are needed to evaluate emerging technologies going through FDA review
- This toolkit would allow for build and postprocessing validation



### Questions





[Images] Thermo Fisher Scientific. (2016). *Plastic Is Still the Leading Material in the* 3D Printing Industry